

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Population inversion in monolayer and bilayer graphene

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 131.169.224.49 This content was downloaded on 07/04/2015 at 06:57

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 27 (2015) 164204 (8pp)

Population inversion in monolayer and bilayer graphene

Isabella Gierz¹, Matteo Mitrano¹, Jesse C Petersen², Cephise Cacho³, I C Edmond Turcu³, Emma Springate³, Alexander Stöhr⁴, Axel Köhler⁴, Ulrich Starke⁴ and Andrea Cavalleri^{1,2}

¹ Max Planck Institute for the Structure and Dynamics of Matter, Luruper Chaussee 149 22761, Hamburg, Germany

² Department of Physics, Clarendon Laboratory, University of Oxford, Wellington Square, Oxford OX1 2JD, UK

³ Central Laser Facility, STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Harwell, UK

⁴ Max Planck Institute for Solid State Research, Heisenbergstraße 1, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany

E-mail: Isabella.Gierz@mpsd.mpg.de

Received 31 August 2014, revised 4 October 2014 Accepted for publication 6 October 2014 Published 2 April 2015



Abstract

The recent demonstration of saturable absorption and negative optical conductivity in the Terahertz range in graphene has opened up new opportunities for optoelectronic applications based on this and other low dimensional materials. Recently, population inversion across the Dirac point has been observed directly by time- and angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (tr-ARPES), revealing a relaxation time of only \sim 130 femtoseconds. This severely limits the applicability of single layer graphene to, for example, Terahertz light amplification. Here we use tr-ARPES to demonstrate long-lived population inversion in bilayer graphene. The effect is attributed to the small band gap found in this compound. We propose a microscopic model for these observations and speculate that an enhancement of both the pump photon energy and the pump fluence may further increase this lifetime.

Keywords: graphene, time- and angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy, hot carrier dynamics

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

1. Introduction

The high charge-carrier mobility in graphene has raised hopes for future high-speed electronic devices. However, the interaction of hot carriers with the lattice may limit the operation of such devices. Thus, significant efforts were recently dedicated to understand the cooling dynamics of hot carriers by time-resolved optical spectroscopy. More recently, new phenomena like saturable absorption, population inversion, and possibly carrier multiplication, were discussed, broadening the scope of dynamical studies in graphene. In the meantime, graphene has been used successfully to generate ultrashort laser pulses [1,2]. Applications as an amplifying medium in a Terahertz laser may be feasible [3-6].

Direct access to the time evolution of photoexcited carriers is made possible by time- and angle-resolved photoemission

spectroscopy (tr-ARPES), a technique that measures the number of electrons as a function of energy, momentum, and time. Here we focus on the stage immediately after photoexcitation with a femtosecond laser pulse, where the carriers in the conduction band are decoupled from the carriers in the valence band by a bottleneck at the Dirac point. Two electron gases with distinct chemical potentials and temperatures are then observed. We measure the formation of this population inverted state after high-fluence interband excitation and compare its lifetime in monolayer graphene (a zero-gap semimetal with linearly dispersing bands) and bilayer graphene (a narrow-gap semiconductor with conventional parabolic dispersion) finding longer lifetimes in the latter. We attribute this observation to a stronger relaxation bottleneck in the presence of a band gap.



Figure 1. Equilibrium band structure of a hydrogen-intercalated graphene monolayer (ML) and bilayer (BL) measured with He II α radiation.

2. Methods

2.1. Time- and angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy

Femtosecond laser pulses tuneable between 800 nm and 15 μ m wavelength are generally used in our apparatus to excite the sample. At a variable delay from this excitation, the sample is illuminated with a second extreme ultra-violet (EUV) pulse. Photoelectrons are measured with a hemispherical analyzer yielding the photocurrent as a function of kinetic energy, $E_{\rm kin}$, and emission angle, θ . From the kinetic energy, the binding energy, $E_{\rm B}$, of the electrons in the solid can be obtained as $E_{\rm B} = \hbar\omega - \phi - E_{\rm kin}$, where $\hbar\omega$ is the EUV photon energy and ϕ is the work function of the analyzer. The measured emission angle can be converted into in-plane momentum $k_{||} = \sqrt{\frac{2m_e}{\hbar^2}} \sin \theta \sqrt{E_{\rm kin}}$, where m_e is the electron mass and \hbar is Planck's constant devided by 2π , so that the image on the two-dimensional detector directly maps the band structure along a particular cut in momentum space.

Pump and probe pulses are generated by parametric downconversion and high-order harmonic upconversion, respectively, of 30 fs–780 nm–1 kHz pulses from a Ti:Sapphire laser. The pump pulses are generated with a commercial optical parametric amplifier $(1-2 \,\mu\text{m})$ with subsequent difference frequency generation $(4-15 \,\mu\text{m})$. About 1 mJ of laser energy is used for high-order harmonics generation in an argon gas jet, generating EUV photons in the energy range between 20 and 40 eV. A single-grating time-preserving monochromator is used to select a single harmonic at $\hbar\omega_{\text{probe}} = 31.5 \text{ eV}$, yielding <30 fs long EUV pulses with a spectral width of about 300 meV [7]. The EUV probe is focused onto the sample with a toroidal mirror resulting in a typical spot size of 200 μ m.

2.2. Sample preparation

Epitaxial monolayer and bilayer graphene samples for the present investigation were grown at the Max Planck Institute for Solid State Research. In a first step, the SiC(0001)substrates were hydrogen-etched to obtain large flat terraces. In a second step, the substrates were annealed in Ar atmosphere to graphitize the surface, resulting in a carbon coverage of one and two monolayers, respectively. The last preparation step ensured that the carbon layers were decoupled from the underlying substrate by hydrogen intercalation, resulting in quasi-freestanding monolayer (ML) and bilayer (BL) graphene [8]. The static band structure of these samples, measured along a cut through the K-point perpendicular to the Γ K-direction, is shown in figure 1. In both cases the top of the valence band is unoccupied, indicating a slight hole doping with the chemical potential at about -200 meV. The bilayer sample has a small band gap of about 200 meV due to the different amounts of charges in the top and bottom layer, respectively, induced by charge transfer from the substrate [9]. The samples were exposed to air and reinserted into ultrahigh vacuum at the Artemis user facility in Harwell, United Kingdom, where the tr-ARPES experiments were performed. After a mild annealing at $\sim 200^{\circ}$ C the original band structure was recovered.

3. Results

Figures 2 and 3 show snapshots of the band structure through the K-point along the Γ K-direction for different pump-probe delays (upper panel) along with the pump-induced changes of the photocurrent (lower panel) for monolayer and bilayer graphene, respectively. The monolayer sample was excited using $\hbar \omega_{pump} = 1.3 \,\mu m$ at a fluence of $F = 4.6 \,\text{mJ cm}^{-2}$,



Figure 2. Upper panel: snapshots of the electronic structure of monolayer graphene in the vicinity of the K-point around the Fermi level for different pump-probe delays. Lower panel: corresponding pump-induced changes of the photocurrent. This data set is the same as in [6].



Figure 3. Upper panel: snapshots of the electronic structure of bilayer graphene in the vicinity of the K-point around the Fermi level for different pump-probe delays. Lower panel: corresponding pump-induced changes of the photocurrent.

the bilayer sample was excited using $\hbar\omega_{\text{pump}} = 1.5\,\mu\text{m}$ at a fluence of $F = 4.1\,\text{mJ}\,\text{cm}^{-2}$. For cuts along the Γ K-direction, only one branch of the π -bands is visible due to photoemission matrix element effects [10]. Due to the limited energy resolution of the pulsed EUV source, the two π -bands of the bilayer cannot be distinguished in the time-resolved data. The data set for the graphene monolayer is the same as in [6].

Upon arrival of the pump pulse the intensity of the π -bands below the Fermi level was observed to reduce, and carriers were

injected in the previously unoccupied states. Within about one picosecond the excited carriers relax back to their equilibrium position.

A more detailed data analysis is presented in figure 4. In figure 4(a) we plot the momentum-integrated photocurrent as a function of pump-probe delay for monolayer and bilayer graphene. Figure 4(b) displays momentum-integrated energy distribution curves for selected pump-probe delays around time zero, where the pump-probe signal is maximum. The dashed black lines represent fits to the experimental data using Fermi-



Figure 4. (*a*) Momentum-integrated photocurrent for monolayer and bilayer graphene as a function of pump-probe delay close to the Fermi level. (*b*) Momentum-integrated energy-distribution curves for selected pump-probe delays around time zero (data points) together with Fermi–Dirac fits (black dashed lines) for monolayer and bilayer graphene. Different colors indicate different regimes corresponding to the formation (change from blue to red) and reunification (change from red to green) of decoupled electron gases in valence and conduction band. Time-steps are indicated on the right side of the figure.

Dirac (FD) distribution functions. At negative delays (blue data points), the data can be nicely described by a single FD distribution. The shape of the non-equilibrium distributions around time zero (red data points), however, clearly deviates from a single FD distribution. Instead, we use two separate FD distributions, one for the valence band and one for the conduction band, to fit the data. This indicates that, at earliest times after interband excitation, a relaxation bottleneck at the Dirac point causes population inversion. The two electron gases rapidly thermalize into separate FD distributions with different temperatures and chemical potentials. The two FD distributions merge (green data points) after ~130 fs and ~330 fs for monolayer and bilayer samples, respectively. A single FD distribution at elevated electronic temperature is then observed.

In figure 5 we analyze the energy dependence of the relaxation time. In figure 5(a) we plot the momentumintegrated pump-induced changes of the photocurrent as a function of pump-probe delay for monolayer and bilayer graphene. From these plots we extract line profiles at constant energy and fit them with an exponentially decaying function. The resulting relaxation times as a function of energy are plotted in figure 5(b). In both monolayer and bilayer graphene the relaxation rates increase with decreasing energy with a long-lived pump-probe signal close to the Fermi level. For

energies above E = 0.5 eV the decay times for monolayer and bilayer graphene are comparable. For energies smaller than $E = 0.5 \,\mathrm{eV}$, however, charge carriers in bilayer graphene relax much more slowly than in monolayer graphene. Note that, for small energies, the relaxation dynamics is better described by a double exponential decay. One example for this is shown in figure 5(c), where line profiles at E = 200 meV are extracted from figure 5(a) and plotted together with double exponential fits (continuous black lines). Also here, the decay times in the bilayer ($\tau_1 = 220 \pm 50$ fs, $\tau_2 = 1.8 \pm 0.3$ ps) are longer than the ones in the monolayer ($\tau_1 = 150 \pm 60$ fs, $\tau_2 \sim 0.8$ ps). Furthermore, the amplitude of the fast component, a_1 , is larger in monolayer ($a_1 = 0.80 \pm 0.06$) than in bilayer graphene $(a_1 = 0.53 \pm 0.04)$. This is in agreement with the intuitive assumption that the actual band gap of $\sim 200 \text{ meV}$ in bilayer graphene imposes a bigger obstacle to carrier relaxation than the zero density of states at the Dirac point in monolayer graphene.

4. Discussion

4.1. Relaxation dynamics

Interband transitions at $\hbar \omega_{\text{pump}}$ generate holes in the valence band at $E = E_D - \hbar \omega_{\text{pump}}/2$ and electrons in the conduction



Figure 5. (*a*) Momentum-integrated pump-induced changes of the photocurrent for monolayer and bilayer graphene. (*b*) Exponential decay time as a function of energy for monolayer (red) and bilayer graphene (blue). (*c*) Line profiles at constant energy E = 200 meV taken from panel a (data points) together with double exponential fits (continuous black lines) for monolayer (red) and bilayer graphene (blue).

band at $E = E_D + \hbar \omega_{\text{pump}}/2$, where E_D is the energy of the Dirac point where valence and conduction band touch. The initial carrier distribution is highly anisotropic with nodes along the direction of pump polarization and maxima in the direction perpendicular to the pump polarization [11, 12]. Collinear electron-electron scattering results in an ultrafast thermalization of the non-equilibrium distribution within ~ 10 fs with a momentum-dependent electronic temperature [11, 13–19]. This distribution becomes isotropic and cools down by the emission of optical phonons on a timescale of \sim 200 fs, establishing a quasi-equilibrium between electrons and hot optical phonons [20-27]. This quasi-equilibrium further cools down by the decay of optical into acoustic phonons [17, 19–29] or a direct coupling of the electrons to acoustic phonons via supercollisions in the presence of defects [30–33] within a few picoseconds.

The earliest stages of the relaxation have not been analyzed extensively to date due to the lack of the required time and/or momentum resolution. Breusing *et al* [13, 14], with a temporal resolution of ~10 fs, determined an initial ultrafast thermalization time due to electron–electron scattering of $\tau_0 = 13 \pm 3$ fs. They also pointed out that two separate Fermi–Dirac distributions for valence and conduction band are required to properly fit their differential transmission data. This interpretation has been supported by first angle-

integrated time-resolved photoemission (tr-PES) experiments on multidomain graphene samples by Gilbertson *et al* [34, 35]. Mittendorff *et al* [12] have shown recently that the initial distribution of photoexcited carriers stays anisotropic for about \sim 150 fs after excitation before optical phonon emission results in efficient angle relaxation.

The rapid thermalization of photoexcited carriers is in good agreement with our data in figure 4, where the carriers follow a thermal FD distribution at all times. Further, our measurements give direct evidence for the existence of independent electron gases in the valence and conduction band at early times, supporting the interpretation of previous optical pump-probe [13, 14] and tr-PES experiments [6, 34, 35].

Fast cooling of the hot electronic distribution via the emission of strongly coupled optical phonons within $\tau_1 \sim 200$ fs has been demonstrated unequivocally using time-resolved anti-Stokes Raman scattering, directly probing the inplane E_{2g} mode occupancy as a function of time [22, 24, 27]. These measurements also suggest that the slow relaxation time τ_2 of several picoseconds can be associated with the anharmonic decay of hot optical phonons into acoustic phonons. Recently, it has been pointed out that τ_2 might also be due to supercollisions, where disorder-assisted scattering events enable a more efficient heat transfer from the electronic system directly to acoustic phonons [30–33].

4.2. Relaxation bottleneck and population inversion

The relaxation bottleneck in graphene has two different contributions. The first contribution is the zero density of states (ZDOS) at the Dirac point in monolayer graphene. This ZDOS bottleneck reduces the phase space for any electronic transition involving states close to the Dirac point, independent of whether these transitions are mediated by electron-electron or electron-phonon scattering. The second contribution is related to the fact that the main cooling channel for hot electrons is the emission of strongly coupled optical phonons. Electrons in both monolayer and bilayer graphene mainly couple to E_{2g} phonons with momentum $q \approx \Gamma$ and energy E = 195 meV, and A'_1 phonons with $q \approx K$ and E =160 meV [36, 37]. Thus, hot electrons can only cool down via optical phonon emission, if their excess energy with respect to the equilibrium electron distribution is higher than the phonon energy. For excess energies smaller than the phonon energy, phonon emission is not possible, resulting in very long relaxation times [29, 38]. This contribution is referred to as the phonon bottleneck. We would like to point out that the ZDOS bottleneck is responsible for an accumulation of carriers at the bottom of the conduction band, while the phonon bottleneck accounts for long lifetimes in the vicinity of the Fermi level.

In 2007, Ryzhii et al [3] predicted that strong optical excitation may result in population inversion in graphene with electrons at the bottom of the conduction band and holes at the top of the valence band. The effect was attributed to the ZDOS bottleneck and is expected to result in negative optical conductivities in the Terahertz regime. The relaxation bottleneck in graphene was found to be strong enough to allow for saturable absorption, which was initially attributed to the formation of a hot Fermi-Dirac distribution that results in Pauli blocking of the optical transition [1, 2]. Recently, Li et al [4] measured a transient negative optical conductivity at 1.16 eV probe energy for pump fluences above 2 mJ cm⁻² at $\hbar\omega_{pump} =$ 1.55 eV. These observations have been confirmed with timeand angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy [6, 34, 35] and explained by microscopic calculations [5]. According to Winzer et al [5] population inversion after optical excitation arises due to rapid phonon-induced intraband scattering that fills the states at the bottom of the conduction band, where the ZDOS bottleneck restricts further relaxation. The inverted population then decays within a few hundred femtoseconds due to Auger recombination.

In figure 6 we present the microscopic picture introduced by Winzer *et al* [5]. This picture accounts for the occurrence of population inversion in graphene (figure 6(*a*)) and its limited lifetime (figure 6(*b*)). Photoexcitation transfers electrons from $E = E_D - \hbar \omega_{pump}/2$ to $E = E_D + \hbar \omega_{pump}/2$. Due to the absence of charge carriers in the vicinity of the Dirac point in our hole-doped samples, interband scattering is inhibited, efficiently decoupling valence and conduction band. Auger scattering rapidly thermalizes the independent electron gases in the two bands, while the emission of E_{2g} and A'_1 optical phonons leads to an accumulation of charge carriers at the bottom of the conduction band (see figure 6(*a*)). Once the inverted population is established (see figure 6(*b*)), Auger recombination sets in, restoring one common FD distribution l Gierz *et al*



Figure 6. Electron-electron and electron-phonon scattering channels in photo-excited graphene. Direct interband transitions generate holes in the valence band and electrons in the conduction band. Occupied states are shown in red, empty states are shown in blue. During and immediately after excitation (a) the excited electrons rapidly thermalize via Auger scattering (red arrows) and cool down via the emission of K-point phonons with q = K (green arrow). Emission of phonons with $q \approx \Gamma$ (yellow arrow) leads to the accumulation of carriers at the bottom of the conduction band. Supported by the suppression of interband scattering due to the slight hole-doping of our samples (dashed grey arrow) the ZDOS bottleneck results in a short-lived population inversion with independent electron gases in valence and conduction band. Once an inverted population is established (b) efficient interband scattering dominated by fast Auger recombination rapidly merges the separate chemical potentials and results in a single Fermi-Dirac distribution for both valence and conduction band. The hot electron gas cools down via the emission of optical phonons at Γ and K.

for valence and conduction band. From this point on, the hot electrons cool down via optical phonon emission until a quasiequilibrium between hot electrons and hot optical phonons is reached. This quasi-equilibrium further cools by the emission of acoustic phonons.

The actual band gap in bilayer graphene restricts the available phase space for Auger recombination compared to monolayer graphene and thus extends the life time of the inverted population from \sim 130 fs to \sim 330 fs.

4.3. Comparison with previous tr-ARPES data on graphene

Only few time-resolved photoemission investigations of charge carrier dynamics in graphene exist. Not all of them allow for a direct comparison with our findings, as in some cases the dynamics were mainly dominated by the influence of the substrate.

Gilbertson *et al* [34, 35] investigated graphene grown by chemical vapor deposition on copper and transferred to SiO₂. Although their samples only allowed for an angleintegrated investigation they observed a transition from two separate into a single FD distribution during the relaxation process for an incident pump fluence of $F = 1.6 \text{ mJ cm}^{-2}$ at $\hbar \omega_{\text{pump}} = 1.55 \text{ eV}$ [34]. They attributed the observed energy dependence of the quasiparticle lifetime to the ZDOS bottleneck [35] although—considering [38] and the above discussion—it is probably rather due to the phonon bottleneck, as the maximum lifetime coincides with the Fermi level and not the Dirac point.

For graphene on metal substrates such as Ru(0001) [39] and Ni(111) [40] the lifetimes of the excited carriers in the vicinity of the Fermi level are dominated by the substrate, resulting in much faster decay times on the order of a few tens of femtoseconds that are not related to intrinsic graphene dynamics.

Finally, Johannsen et al [33] and Ulstrup et al [9] performed very similar experiments compared to our own also using quasi-freestanding graphene monolayer and bilayer samples on SiC(0001), albeit at lower pump fluences. In [33], for a pump fluence of 0.3 mJ cm^{-2} , the authors observe a single FD distribution at all times. The data analysis focused on the cooling dynamics of the Dirac carriers, revealing that supercollisions play an important role in energy dissipation. The authors were also looking for charge carrier multiplication [41–43], but concluded that the required low-fluence regime is not accessible with the 1 kHz experimental setup employed, in agreement with our own findings [6]. [9] aims at a detailed comparison of the different dynamics observed in monolayer and bilayer graphene. In good agreement with our own data in figures 5(b) and (c), the authors report pronounced differences in the dynamics only at low energies, consistent with a stronger relaxation bottleneck in the presence of a band gap.

However, Ulstrup et al [9] find that, even at a comparatively high pump fluence of 3.5 mJ cm⁻² at $\hbar \omega_{pump} =$ 1.55 eV, the pump-probe data on bilayer graphene can be described with a single FD distribution broadened by the experimental resolution. Their momentum-integrated data at the peak of the response exhibits a clear shoulder in the conduction band that they attribute to an accumulation of carriers in the upper branch of the conduction band due to the elevated electronic temperature. At first glance this may seem to contradict our interpretation of a similar shoulder (see figure 4(b) in terms of a second separate FD distribution. However, the shoulders reported here (figure 4(b)) are much stronger than the one observed by Ulstrup et al [9]. Also, we observe a similar shoulder in monolayer graphene with only one set of π -bands, clearly indicating that the interpretation given by Ulstrup *et al* does not apply in our case.

Finally, the amplitude of the second higher-energy FD distribution in figure 4(b) is lower than the one for the lowenergy FD distribution, which is not expected for an electronhole symmetric density of states. We believe that this apparent discrepancy may come from the fact that the two separate FD distributions are only observed at early times where the hot carriers are still anisotropically distributed in momentum space [11, 12]. In the present study, the pump polarization lies along the Γ K-direction. Thus, the ARPES cuts are taken along the nodes of the initially anisotropic carrier distribution, and photoexcited electrons will only appear in the field of view of the ARPES cut after phonon-mediated momentum relaxation has taken place, causing the apparent reduction of density of states in the conduction band.

5. Conclusion

In summary, we have used time- and angle-resolved EUV photoemission to investigate hot-electron relaxation in monolayer and bilayer graphene. In the strong excitation regime used here, we find that the lifetime of the inverted population is longer in bilayer than in monolayer graphene. This is interpreted as a direct consequence of the different electronic structures. These results indicate that the relaxation bottleneck associated with the zero density of states at the Dirac point in monolayer graphene is enhanced by the 200 meV band gap found in bilayer graphene. The observation of population inversion may allow for applications of graphene as an amplifying medium for Terahertz radiation. For bilayer graphene, the small band gap limits the operation regime of such an amplifier to frequencies above 50 THz (6 μ m wavelength). Finally, further enhancement of the lifetime of the inverted population may be possible at higher pump energies in combination with higher pump fluences, eventually enabling THz lasing.

Acknowledgments

The research leading to these results has received funding from LASERLAB-EUROPE (grant agreement no. 284464, EC's Seventh Framework Programme).

References

- Bao Q, Zhang H, Wang Y, Ni Z, Yan Y, Shen Z X, Loh K P and Tang D Y 2009 Adv. Funct. Mater. 19 3077
- [2] Sun Z, Hasan T, Torrisi F, Popa D, Privitera G, Wang F, Bonaccorso F, Basko D M and Ferrari A C 2010 ACS Nano 4 803
- [3] Ryzhii V, Ryzhii M and Otsuji T 2007 J. Appl. Phys. 101 083114
- [4] Li T, Luo L, Hupalo M, Zhang J, Tringides M C, Schmalian J and Wang J 2012 Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 167401
- [5] Winzer T, Malić E and Knorr A 2013 Phys. Rev. B 87 165413
- [6] Gierz I, Petersen J C, Mitrano M, Cacho C, Turcu I C E, Springate E, Stöhr A, Köhler A, Starke U and Cavalleri A 2013 Nat. Mater. 12 1119
- [7] Frassetto F, Cacho C, Froud C A, Turcu I C E, Villoresi P, Bryan W A, Springate E and Poletto L 2011 *Opt. Express* 19 19169
- [8] Riedl C, Coletti C, Iwasaki T, Zakharov A A and Starke U 2009 Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 246804
- [9] Ulstrup S et al 2014 Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 257401
- [10] Shirley E L, Terminello L J, Santoni A and Himpsel F J 1995 Phys. Rev. B 51 13614
- [11] Malić E, Winzer T, Bobkin E and Knorr A 2011 Phys. Rev. B 84 205406
- [12] Mittendorff M, Winzer T, Malić E, Knorr A, Berger C, de Heer W A, Schneider H, Helm M and Winnerl S 2014 *Nano Lett.* 14 1504
- [13] Breusing M, Ropers C and Elsaesser T 2009 Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 086809
- Breusing M, Kuehn S, Winzer T, Malić E, Milde F, Severin N, Rabe J P, Ropers C, Knorr A and Elsaesser T 2011 *Phys. Rev.* B 83 153410

- [15] Butscher S, Milde F, Hirtschulz M, Malić E and Knorr A 2007 Appl. Phys. Lett. 91 203103
- [16] George P A, Strait J, Dawlaty J, Shivaraman S, Chandrashekhar M, Rana F and Spencer M G 2008 Nano Lett. 8 4248
- [17] Sun D, Wu Z-K, Divin C, Li X, Berger C, de Heer W A, First P N and Norris T B 2008 Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 157402
- [18] Sun D, Divin C, Berger C, de Heer W A, First P N and Norris T B 2010 Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 136802
- [19] Lui C H, Mak K F, Shan J and Heinz T F 2010 Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 127404
- [20] Kampfrath T, Perfetti L, Schapper F, Frischkorn C and Wolf M 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 187403
- [21] Bonini N, Lazzeri M, Marzari N and Mauri F 2007 Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 176802
- [22] Yan H, Song D, Mak K F, Chatzakis I, Maultzsch J and Heinz T F 2009 Phys. Rev. B 80 121403
- [23] Wang H et al 2010 Appl. Phys. Lett. 96 081917
- [24] Kang K, Abdula D, Cahill D G and Shim M 2010 Phys. Rev. B 81 165405
- [25] Huang L, Hartland G V, Chu L-Q, Luxmi, Feenstra R M, Lian C, Tahy K and Xing H 2010 Nano Lett. 10 1308
- [26] Hale P J, Hornett S M, Moger J, Horsell D W and Hendry E 2011 Phys. Rev. B 83 121404
- [27] Chatzakis I, Yan H, Song D, Berciaud S and Heinz T F 2011 Phys. Rev. B 83 205411
- [28] Zou X et al 2010 Appl. Phys. Lett. 97 141910

- [29] Winnerl S et al 2011 Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 237401
- [30] Song J C W, Reizer M Y and Levitov L S 2012 Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 106602
- [31] Graham M W, Shi S-F, Ralph D C, Park J, P and McEuen L 2013 Nat. Phys. 9 103
- [32] Betz A C, Jhang S H, Pallecchi E, Ferreira R, Fève G, Berroir J-M and Plaçais B 2013 Nat. Phys. 9 109
- [33] Johannsen J C et al 2013 Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 027403
- [34] Gilbertson S, Dakovski G L, Durakiewicz T, Zhu J-X, Dani K M, Mohite A D, Dattelbaum A and Rodriguez G 2012 J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 3 64
- [35] Gilbertson S, Durakiewicz T, Zhu J-X, Mohite A D, Dattelbaum A and Rodriguez G 2012 J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 30 03D116
- [36] Calandra M and Mauri F 2007 Phys. Rev. B 76 205411
- [37] Park C-H, Giustino F, Cohen M L and Louie S G 2008 Nano Lett. 8 4229
- [38] Sentef M, Kemper A F, Moritz B, Freericks J K, Shen Z-X and Devereaux T P 2013 Phys. Rev. X 3 041033
- [39] Armbrust N, Güdde J, Jakob P and Höfer U 2012 Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 056801
- [40] Bignardi L, Haarlammert T, Winter C, Montagnese M, van Loosdrecht P H M, Voloshina E, Rudolf P and Zacharias H 2014 Phys. Rev. B 89 075405
- [41] Winzer T, Knorr A and Malić E 2010 Nano. Lett. 10 4839
- [42] Winzer T and Malić E 2012 Phys. Rev. B 85 241404
- [43] Brida D et al 2013 Nat. Commun. 4 1987